\*A note to the teacher: We designed this manuscript as a resource for you, but you do not have to read it verbatim at delivery. You may find more lucid explanations and illustrations than seen here. If so, feel free to adapt the material as long as you communicate the truths outlined here. Furthermore, the footnotes serve as references and additional information of interest but are not necessary to the content itself. They make this document appear longer than it actually is.

**LESSON TWO ON THE TRINITY**

Christ commissions Christians to baptize “*in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit*,” teaching us that the one God *is* a Triune communion. The distinct Person of Father is God, the distinct Person of Son is God, and the distinct Person of the Holy Spirit is God. But what distinguishes them as ‘other’ Persons? The Bible distinguishes the Three by their distinctive personal relations. The Belgic Confession of 1561 puts it this way, “The Father is not derived from anyone, neither begotten nor proceeding. The Son is eternally begotten of the Father.The Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father and the Son.” The 1689 Baptist Confession of Faith also affirms these truths.

**FOUNDATIONAL TRUTH 4: THE ETERNAL DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN THE FATHER, SON, AND SPIRIT ARE FOUND IN THEIR PERSONAL RELATIONS WITH ONE ANOTHER (UNBEGOTTEN, BEGOTTEN, PROCEEDING)**.[[1]](#footnote-1)

The Apostle John tells us twice in his first epistle that “God is love” (1 John 4:8, 16). God *is* love in his inner, eternal being, so Augustine employed ‘love’ as an analogy of the Trinity. The Father (the lover) eternally loves the Son (Matthew 3:16-17). The Son (the beloved) loves the Father (John 14:31) and is eternally beloved of the Father. The Holy Spirit is the bond of love between the Father and Son’s fellowship (1 John 4:13).[[2]](#footnote-2) These unique processions of love differentiate the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit.

THE UNBEGOTTEN FATHER

The Father, by virtue of his divine Fatherhood, eternally begets and loves the Son. The Father’s Fatherhood is eternal, so there was never a time when the ‘Father’ was not ‘Father.” In other words, God eternally exists in the communion of Father and Son. God’s Fatherhood and begetting is not a “choice” but *who he is as Father*.[[3]](#footnote-3) His begetting is somewhat closer to a tree that, as a consequence of its nature, buds with flowers.[[4]](#footnote-4) So likewise, it is in the Father’s proper nature to beget His Son.

Therefore, the Father’s unique personal property is that of being ‘unbegotten’ because He *is* Father. But, as Augustine said, “when the Father is said to be unbegotten, it is not said what He *is*, but what He *is not*.”[[5]](#footnote-5) ‘Unbegotten’ means that the Father is not a son. Being unbegotten, the Father is "principal,' or “the source from which everything that goes forth (proceeds)." Thomas Aquinas explained, “in all kinds of causes, there is always to be found between the cause and the effect a **distance of perfection or power.**” However, we use the term ‘principle for “things which have **no such difference**, but have only a **certain order** to each other; as when we say that a point is the principle of a line; or also when we say that the first part of a line is the principle of a line.”[[6]](#footnote-6) He fountain spring, the source from which the Trinity proceeds.[[7]](#footnote-7)

THE ETERNALLY BEGOTTEN SON

Jesus is the Father's only begotten Son (note the contextual language that emphasizes His ‘origin’ or where He is ‘coming from,’ and not *just* His uniqueness).

* John 1:14 *And* ***the Word*** *became flesh and dwelt among us, and we beheld His glory, the glory as of* ***the only begotten of the Father****, full of grace and truth*;
* John 1:18 *No one has seen God at any time.* ***The only begotten Son****,* ***who is in the bosom of the Father****, He has declared Him*.
* John 3:16 *For God so loved the world that* ***He gave******His only begotten Son****, that whoever believes in Him should not perish but have everlasting life*.
* John 3:18 “*He who believes in Him is not condemned; but he who does not believe is condemned already, because he has not believed in the name of* ***the only begotten Son of God***.
* 1 John 4:9 *In this the love of God* ***was manifested*** *toward us, that God* ***has sent******His only begotten Son*** *into the world, that we might live through Him*.[[8]](#footnote-8)

The Son is eternally beloved and begotten of the Father—one in being with him but distinct as a Son (and not a Father). “Begotten” means ‘to come forth or proceed from,’ and describes their divine and eternal Father-Son relationship. The Son is ‘***eternally from’*** the Father.[[9]](#footnote-9)

John 5:26 states, "*For as the Father has life in himself, so he has granted the Son also to have life in himself*.” The Father possesses self-existence, i.e., “life in himself.” The Son also possesses self-existence, a “life” identical to that of the Father (v. 26b). But each possesses this ‘life in himself’ in distinct, personal ways. The Father gives the Son life in Himself, but no one gives the Father life in Himself. The Father eternally communicates the divine ‘life’ (or essence) and the distinguishing relational properties of Sonship to the Son.[[10]](#footnote-10)

But the Son never "began" to exist, just as the Father never "began" to exist; there was never a time when the Father was without His Son, nor the Son without His Father. Human begetting and sonship begin at a point in history. For example, I was born on September 29, 1982, to my parents. And there *was a time* when my father was not a ‘father’ and without a son. But divine begetting does not require “creation,” nor is it susceptible to the limitations of time. However, human begetting and divine begetting are alike in just one mode: just as I share the exact human nature as my father, since I am begotten from my earthly father, the Son shares the same divine, eternal nature His Father, since He is begotten from Eternal God.[[11]](#footnote-11)

Basil, an early church father, supplies us with a helpful illustration:

“However, in a case such as fire and the light shining from it, **the fire is certainly first, as the cause (or source), and the light second, as the effect, but there is no "interval" [of time] separating the two**: they are "first" and "second," not by an arrangement [in time], but as a consequence of their nature. So, in the case of the Father and the Son, in relation to causality, the Father is [classified] before the Son, but neither according to a difference of nature nor by a temporal preeminence.”[[12]](#footnote-12)

As a flame cannot exist without its brightness. Likewise, the Father ‘never’ existed without His Son. Hebrews 1:3 describes the Son as: "the *radiance*of [the Father's] glory, the exact imprint of his being."[[13]](#footnote-13) Thus, the Son *IS* "Light from Light, True God from True God," with the same essence as God the Father. [[14]](#footnote-14)

THE ETERNAL PROCESSION OF THE SPIRIT

* John 14:26 “*But the Helper, the Holy Spirit, whom the Father will send in my name*.”
* John 15:26, “*But when the Helper comes, whom I will send to you from the Father, the Spirit of truth, who proceeds from the Father*.” **Jesus says that His sending of the Spirit is one and the same as the Father’s**.
* Jesus, in John 20:22, “*breathed on [the apostles] and said to them, “Receive the Holy* Spirit.”[[15]](#footnote-15)

The Holy Spirit eternally "*proceeds from the Father*" and the Son.[[16]](#footnote-16) ‘Proceeds’ means the Holy Spirit ‘comes from, is eternally breathed out’ by the Father and the Son. Proceeding from them both, He participates in the loving communion of the Father and the Son and shares the one divine, eternal essence. In Augustine’s analogy of love, He described the Spirit as the eternal communion of love between the Father and the Son. The Bible closely associates the Holy Spirit with God’s love.[[17]](#footnote-17)

* Romans 5:5 states, “*God’s love has been poured into our hearts through the Holy Spirit who has been given to us*.” **The Spirit Himself is poured into us by the pouring out of God’s love.**[[18]](#footnote-18)
* 1 John 4:12-13 “*No one has ever seen God; if we love one another, God abides in us and his love is perfected in us. By this we know that we abide in him and he in us, because he has given us of his Spirit*.” **God is love; if we abide in love, we abide in God; we know we abide in God’s love because He has given us the Spirit**.[[19]](#footnote-19)

However, He is not unbegotten like the Father or begotten like the Son. The Father and Son eternally breathe out (spirate) the Holy Spirit, eternally communicating the divine essence and the distinguishing relational property of procession (or spiration) to Him. The Bible sometimes gives the Spirit the title of “breath” since the word ‘spirit’ in the Bible can also be translated as ‘breath.’ It is not an accident, then, that Jesus illustrates the Spirit with the wind in John 3:8: “*The windblows where it wishes, and you hear its sound, but you do not know where it comes from or where it goes. So it is with everyone who is born of the Spirit*.”

God comes to us from the Father, through the Son, and by the Spirit. They are all equally divine, but these relations cannot be transferred to the other divine persons.

WHY DOES THIS MATTER?

First, the Son’s eternal begottenness and Spirit’s eternal procession ensure that They share the exact nature as God the Father.[[20]](#footnote-20) The Son’s eternal ‘begottenness’ makes Him uniquely qualified and capable to grant “*the right to become children of God*” to those who believe (John 1:12). If Jesus is not the eternal, only begotten Son of God, then there is no predestination of us “*for adoption to himself as sons through Jesus Christ*” (Ephesians 1:5). The Father predestines us for **adoption** *through* his Son *because* Jesus the Son is the Son of the Father **by nature**. The **begotten Son** of the Father gives **us adopted children** the hope and right to call God our Father.[[21]](#footnote-21)

Second, the Son ascended back to the Father and, with Him, sent the Spirit of adoption into newborn spiritual children (John 3:8-15). Because He is **generated** from all eternity, the Father could send Him to be born as a man and ensure our being **regenerated** and adopted as sons.[[22]](#footnote-22)

Third, the Spirit of the Son (and ‘of adoption’) that dwells in us **enables** us to cry out, “*Abba, Father.*” This is because He proceeds eternally from the Father and the Son (Gal. 4:6). *Because* He **eternally proceeds** from the Father and the Son, He is **the seal** that certifies God’s ownership over us and guarantees our eternal inheritance. Fourthly and finally, **the Spirit’s** **enteral relations** with the Father and the Son enable **us to commune** with the Trinitarian God and ensure our union with Christ.[[23]](#footnote-23)

**IS THE SON ETERNALLY SUBORDINATE TO THE FATHER?[[24]](#footnote-24)**

Eternal Submission of the Son (ESS) claims that Jesus is equal to the Father in essence but submissive to the Father in role or function.[[25]](#footnote-25) Therefore, Jesus’ obedience is not limited to the incarnation but extends to the Son’s eternal relationship with the Father. Bruce Ware, a beloved professor at Southern Seminary, goes further and claims the Father exercises the utmost divine authority and enjoys supreme glory over the Son and the Spirit.[[26]](#footnote-26) From a human perspective, even the names “Father” and “Son” signify authority and submission, supplying further evidence that God the Father has eternally had primary authority among the members of the Trinity and that the Son has eternally been subject and obedient to the Father’s authority.[[27]](#footnote-27) Theologians often appeal to (among many other texts)[[28]](#footnote-28):

* John 4:34 “*Jesus said to them, “My food is to do the will of him who sent me and to accomplish his work*.” The Son does the Father’s will, indicating a primacy of the Father's willing.
* John 8:28 “*I do nothing on my own authority, but speak just as the Father taught me.*”
* John 14:28 “*You heard me say to you, ‘I am going away, and I will come to you.’ If you loved me, you would have rejoiced, because I am going to the Father, for the Father is greater than I*.” Some theologians teach that the Father’s authority and glory are greater than the Son’s.
* Matthew 26:42 “*Again, for the second time, he went away and prayed, “My Father, if this cannot pass unless I drink it, your will be done*.”
* 1 Corinthians 15:28 “*When all things are subjected to him, then the Son himself will also be subjected to him who put all things in subjection under him, that God may be all in all*.[[29]](#footnote-29)

IMMANMENT AND ECONOMICAL TRINITY

What is the problem? Many of these theologians read *all* the works of the *economic Trinity* back into the *immanent Trinity*. The *immanent Trinity* refers to ***who*** the Triune God is in Himself (internally) and in eternity, **apart from the created and redemptive order**. The *economic Trinity* refers to ***how*** this Triune God **acts toward His created order** (external operations) in creation, providence, and redemption.[[30]](#footnote-30) Many who hold this doctrine take Jesus’ human qualities of submission and obedience, project them back into the Godhead, and create a hierarchy within the eternal Trinity.

Scripture certainly teaches that the economic acts of the Trinity (creation, providence, redemption, etc.) **reveals** truths about the immanent Trinity (the inner, eternal life of God). For example, the Father’s predestination reflects that He is the source, the sending of the Son reflects the eternal generation of the Son, and the sending of the Spirit reflects the eternal procession of the Spirit.[[31]](#footnote-31) But, as one theologian states, “it is altogether different to say that the economic ***constitutes*** the immanent, or that anything and everything in the economic (suffering, submission) is to be projected back into the immanent.”[[32]](#footnote-32) The Trinity’s work in creation and redemption truly manifests the Trinity to us but **does not exhaustively reveal** ***everything*** about the Trinity. In other words, the immanent Trinity **constitutes** the economic, but the economic **does not** constitute the immanent.[[33]](#footnote-33)

The difference is that begetting and proceeding are **eternal** and **internal**. *In contrast*, sending the Son and Spirit into our world is **temporal** and **external** and for a “specific purpose of accomplishing our redemption once and for all.”[[34]](#footnote-34) The Trinity is an ordered communion of equals, and They arrange their relationships with each other according to a precise pattern. But ‘order’ does not automatically imply ‘hierarchical authority,’ meaning-the power or right to give orders, make decisions, and enforce obedience.[[35]](#footnote-35) Why? Because the Trinity makes decisions inseparably with one shared will.[[36]](#footnote-36) Puritan John Owen wrote, “The Father, Son and Spirit have not distinct wills. They are one God, and God’s will is one, as being an essential property of His nature.”[[37]](#footnote-37) If God is **one in nature**, then He is **one in will**.

Why does this matter?[[38]](#footnote-38) Well, without understanding who God is apart from us, we risk somewhat diminishing the magnitude of what God has done for us.[[39]](#footnote-39)

AMAZING GRACE

Jesus, during His time on earth, was unquestionably subject to the authority of God the Father. Furthermore, the Scriptures speak of the Father directing, initiating, and sending the Son for our redemption. But consider Philippians 2:6-9:

**6** Christ Jesus, who, though he was in the form of God, did not count equality with God a thing to be grasped,**7**but emptied himself, by taking the form of a servant, being born in the likeness of men. **8**And being found in human form, he humbled himself by becoming obedient to the point of death, even death on a cross. **9**Therefore, God has highly exalted him and bestowed on him the name that is above every name.

The “*form of God*” means Jesus possesses the very nature and characteristics essential to God (“*equality with God*” is another way of saying the same thing).[[40]](#footnote-40) This passage reflects on the marvel of *God’s* humility in becoming a man, as Jesus now exists with two distinct and complete natures in one person.

In the Trinity, God exists as Three divine Persons and one divine Being. Since the Being of this Holy Trinity of Persons is one, God's will can only be expressed as that of the Father, Son, and Spirit, together, in the perfect loving interpersonal unity of a single will. He is not like three human persons that each have their own centers of consciousness and wills, thus making a submission, obedience, agreement, and collaboration necessary.

Therefore, we will see how Philippians 2:6-8 teaches that *obedience and submission* were not virtues that the Father, Son, or Spirit had ever expressed in eternity. There is no need for them in their relations with one another, as in human relations. So, this text teaches that obedience was something the Son did by virtue of the incarnation, not something he did before the incarnation! Let’s take a closer look at the text.

Because of the Son’s self-conscious status as an equal with God, He has *nothing to prove* and *needs nothing* from us. So, He doesn’t take advantage of His rights as an equal with God to ‘take’ for Himself, but instead gives Himself.[[41]](#footnote-41) How does he give Himself? He “7 *he emptied himself* (**How**? **—>**)*,* (by) *taking[[42]](#footnote-42) the form of a servant* (**what is** **taking the form of a servant**? **—>***), being born[[43]](#footnote-43) in the likeness of men (‘being born,’ i.e.* **His humanity; the Son could only *become* a servant by taking up humanity***). 8And being found in human form, he humbled himself* **(How?** **—>)** (by) *becoming[[44]](#footnote-44)* *obedient to the point* (Starting from conception, ‘*being found[[45]](#footnote-45) in human form,*’ **to the point**/**in rising degrees**/**as far as/up to**[[46]](#footnote-46) ‘*death*’) *of death*” (Philippians 2:8). Christ emptied himself *by* being born as a man (His incarnation is the instrumental means). From then on, He humbled Himself ***by becoming obedient***! He never had to submit to anyone, learn anything, or be taught anything in eternity. Obedience is possible for the Son of God only in His humanity!

So, if the Son was somehow eternally subordinate in the Godhead, then His obedience is not quite as *shocking* in its humility. He simply fulfilled His role as a subordinate. But, since He is eternally equal with and to God, the humility of putting himself in a position of learning, and obeying anything, is such an amazing and extraordinary expression of grace!

ANCIENT RULES OF INTERPRETATION

The Athanasius Creed states, “[The Son is] Equal to the Father, as touching his Godhead; and [lesser] to the Father, as touching his manhood.” Scripture speaks of Christ in two ways: it says some things of Him concerning His divine nature (2:6 - “in the form of God”), and it says other things about Him referring to His human nature (2:7 - “taking the form of a servant”). Scripture upholds the divine and human natures of Christ without confusing or dividing Him. Some texts refer to the Son in His divine nature (2:6 - “equality with God”), and some texts primarily refer to the Son in His human nature, which He became for the sake of accomplishing our salvation (2:8 - “He humbled Himself by becoming obedient”). This is the most fundamental problem with the ESS position. It does not properly apply this Scriptural and ancient rule of hermeneutics when it deals with Christological texts.

So, in His divinity, He is omniscient and as great as the Father. But in His humanity, “*He learned obedience through what he suffered*” (Hebrews 5:8), and the “*Father is greater than [He*.]” In His divinity, He shares the one will of the Triune God. But in His humanity, He submits His human will to His Heavenly Father, saying, “*not my will, but your will be done*.” In 1 Corinthians 15:26-28, Christ, as the God-man, completed his mission by bringing the kingdom to consummation. That passage emphasizes Christ as the *Adamic mediator*, and He is subjected to the Father through eternity *in His Adamic mediatorial role* (1Corinthains 15:45-49).

In the Divine Trinity, no Person is greater or lesser than another. Jesus is co-eternal and co-equal in power and glory with the Father and the Spirit “in the form of God.” The Son submits to the Father only “in the form of a servant,” pertaining to His manhood. Therefore, read Scripture in such a way that you discern these two different manners in which Scripture speaks of Christ without confusing, dividing, or diminishing Him.

**THE UNITY OF GOD’S ACTIONS: INSEPARABLE OPERATIONS[[47]](#footnote-47)**

As God is one and attributes are one, His actions are likewise one. When Jesus said, “*The Father is in me and I am in the Father*” (John 10:38), He simultaneously claimed that He shares the Father’s essence and that they ***mutually indwell*** one another. The same is true for the Spirit so that the Three persons of the Trinity are all fully in one another (Co-inherence). We never have one Person of the Trinity without having the other Two, yet without confusion.[[48]](#footnote-48) So, **inseparable operations** mean that when God acts outside of Himself, He works in a way consistent with the unity of His nature—all is from the Father, through the Son, by the Holy Spirit. All Three Persons work in everything God does because the One God is Triune.

EVIDENCE FROM SCRIPTURE

John 5:19 is crucially important here: “*So Jesus said to them, “Truly, truly, I say to you, the Son can do nothing of his own accord, but only what he sees the Father doing. For whatever the Father does, that the Son does likewise*.” This text actually asserts more about the equality of the Son with the Father than His submission. First, He sees the Father, whom no man can see (John 1:18). Secondly, Jesus claims He does the same works as the Father. Third, the acts of the Father and Son are shared. There is nothing *the Father* does without the Son.

Likewise, 1Corinthains 12:4-6 states, “*Now there are varieties of gifts, but the* ***same Spirit****;* ***5****and there are varieties of service, but the* ***same Lord****; and there are varieties of activities, but it is the* ***same God*** *who empowers them all in everyone*.” This triadic pattern indicates that each member of the Trinity works to achieve the same goal. We also see this with Christ’s resurrection. God the Father raised Jesus from the dead, “*just as Christ was raised from the dead by the glory of the Father*” (Romans 6:4). Jesus rose Himself from the dead, “*Destroy this temple, and in three days I will raise it up*” (John 2:19). And, the Spirit raised Jesus from the dead, “*If the Spirit of him who raised Jesus from the dead dwells in you, he who raised Christ Jesus from the dead will also give life to your mortal bodies…*” (Romans 8:11).[[49]](#footnote-49)

APPROPRIATIONS

However, while they work inseparably, they do not manifest themselves inseparably. For instance, though the Trinity creates and energizes the incarnation, only the Son’s Person is joined to human nature (only the *Spirit* descended as a dove and tongues of fire). Furthermore, while a specific action or work appropriately belongs to one Person, it nevertheless is the creative effect of all Three because of Their inseparable operations. Theologian Robert Letham explains it this way: “While all Three persons are engaged in every aspect of our creation, preservation, and salvation, each action is most appropriate to one of the three rather than the others.” For example, only the Son (in his human nature) died on the Cross, not the Father or the Spirit.[[50]](#footnote-50)

This divine way of working is called ***appropriation***, which means assigning an action to a particular Person suitable in the circumstances, though the action may belong to all Three. All Three divine Persons work in each divine action, having **one will** and executing **one power**. Yet God is an **ordered** communion of equals, acting in an orderly way. All is *from* the Father, who works *through* the Son and *in* the Spirit.

 Professor Adonis Vidu provides a helpful analogy using the activity of a magnet.[[51]](#footnote-51) “A whole magnet draws a needle to itself, but it becomes attached to just one of the poles. Similarly, while the whole Trinity is involved in the incarnation, but the human nature, analogous to the needle, is attached specifically to the Son.”[[52]](#footnote-52)

Inseparable operations mean first, we, as believers, return to God by the Spirit, through the Son, to the Father, and our salvation takes the work of the whole Trinity. Second, the divine Son *never left* the Trinity in His incarnation or propitiatory sacrifice, not even when he descended into the realm of the dead. The Trinity was never broken, even on the cross. Third, Vidu adds, “In the indwelling Spirit we do not have a second-best to the Son, just as in Christ we do not have second-best to the Father (as Philip in [John 14] wrongly implies).” We have the Spirit of Christ, the Spirit of the Son, and the Father. Fourth, our salvation is from the Father, through the Son, by the Spirit. All Three Persons secure our salvation. Therefore, our salvation, is secure as God, Himself. Fifthly and finally, because Their operations are inseparable, we can participate in the Divine nature and share in the Triune life (2 Peter 1:4). In Christ Jesus, we are genuinely and wholly united to God forever!
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